



Psoriasis: LMMG Biologic Commissioning Pathway

David Prayle

Midlands & Lancashire CSU

Sept 2016

(Review date Sept 2019)

Version Number	Amendments made	Author	Date
1.0		David Prayle	12 April 2016
1.1		David Prayle	3 August 2016
1.2	Post LMMG minor accuracy changes made	David Prayle	14 September 2016
Date of next review: September 2019			

Contents

Biologics Commissioning Pathway.....2

Disease Background.....3

NICE Guidance.....3

Guideline Development.....4

Biologic Pathway Principles4


Financial Impact of Providing a Biologic.....4

REFERENCES5

Biologic agents may only be initiated if the patient's psoriasis has not responded to standard systemic therapies including, for example, ciclosporin, methotrexate and PUVA/UVB; or the person is intolerant of, or has a contraindication to, these treatments

Biosimilars
 Biosimilar versions of biologics are becoming available, usually with a lower cost than the originator product.
 The prescribing of biosimilar preparations should be by brand name, followed by the concentration and recommended daily dose in units and a statement of the formulation.
 The preparation with the lowest acquisition cost (taking into account administration costs, dosage and price per dose) should normally be used. However, it is recognised that biosimilar prices may vary over time and that other factors such as the availability of stability data may influence the choice of treatment.
 It may not, therefore, always be appropriate for organisations to switch formulary choice in response to minor price variations.

Psoriasis: LMMG Biologic Commissioning Pathway



Disease Background

Psoriasis is an inflammatory skin disease that typically follows a relapsing and remitting course. The prevalence of psoriasis is estimated to be around 1.3–2.2% in the UK. Psoriasis can occur at any age, although is uncommon in children (0.71%) and the majority of cases occur before 35 years.¹ Plaque psoriasis is characterised by well-delineated red, scaly plaques that vary in extent from a few patches to generalised involvement.¹

Psoriasis for many people results in profound functional, psychological, and social morbidity, with consequent reduced levels of employment and income.¹

First-line therapy includes traditional topical therapies such as corticosteroids, vitamin D and vitamin D analogues, dithranol and tar preparations. Second-line therapy includes the phototherapies (broad or narrow band ultraviolet B light and psoralen plus UVA light [PUVA]) and systemic non-biological agents such as ciclosporin, methotrexate and acitretin. Systemic biological therapies are reserved for third line use.¹ NICE have estimated that a benchmark rate for the number of people with psoriasis eligible for and receiving treatment with biologic drugs is 0.045%, or 45 per 100,000 adults aged 18 years or older per year.²

NICE Guidance

NICE have produced individual Technology Appraisals for each of the five currently available biological drugs for the treatment of plaque psoriasis.

The Technology Appraisals were published incrementally, between 2006 and 2015, as evidence for the newly available drugs became available. The NICE pathway lists the following options for the treatment of 'severe psoriasis', defined as exhibiting a Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) of ≥ 10 and Dermatology Life quality Index (DLQI) of > 10 :

- Etanercept (TNF α inhibitor) - TA103 (2006)³
- Adalimumab (TNF α inhibitor) - TA146 (2008)⁴
- Ustekinumab (Interleukin 12/23 inhibitor) - TA180 (2009)⁵
- Secukinumab (Interleukin 17A inhibitor) - TA350 (2015)⁶

The following is listed for the treatment of 'very severe psoriasis', defined as exhibiting a PASI ≥ 20 and DLQI > 18 :

- Infliximab (TNF α inhibitor) - TA134 (2008)⁷

The biological agents listed above can only be initiated if the patient's psoriasis has not responded to standard systemic therapies including ciclosporin, methotrexate and PUVA; or the person is intolerant of, or has a contraindication to, these treatments.

In Clinical Guideline 153, Psoriasis: assessment and management,¹ which was produced in 2012 before secukinumab was reviewed, NICE recommends that clinicians should consider changing to an alternative biological drug in adults if:

- the psoriasis does not respond adequately to a first biological drug as defined in NICE technology appraisals (at 10 weeks after starting treatment for infliximab, 12 weeks for etanercept, and 16 weeks for adalimumab and ustekinumab; primary failure) **or**
- the psoriasis initially responds adequately but subsequently loses this response, (secondary failure) **or**
- the first biological drug cannot be tolerated or becomes contraindicated.

For adults in whom there is an inadequate response to a second biological drug, seek supra-specialist advice from a clinician with expertise in biological therapy.¹

An adequate response is defined as either:

- a 75% reduction in the PASI score (PASI 75) from when treatment started or
- a 50% reduction in the PASI score (PASI 50) and a 5-point reduction in DLQI from start of treatment.

A second biologic increases the likelihood of people responding to treatment, avoiding an onward referral to best supportive care.¹¹ NICE estimate that if the proportion of patients who do not respond to a first-line biological drug, but are prescribed a second-line biologic were to increase from 70% to 90%, it is estimated that this would lead to a cost saving of approximately £730 per 100,000 population.¹¹

Guideline Development

Other areas' psoriasis guidelines were reviewed as part of the development of the Lancashire guideline. Guidelines reviewed include those of the Greater Manchester Medicines Management Group⁸ the Pan Mersey Area Prescribing Committee⁹ and the Prescribing Clinical Network.¹⁰ Of these, the Greater Manchester Medicines Management Group's work was of most relevance as Lancashire patients are often referred to Manchester for psoriasis treatments and the Greater Manchester Pathway is the most current available, being updated in July 2015 and containing the most recent NICE Technology Appraisals.

Biologic Pathway Principles

The psoriasis pathway in Greater Manchester allows a second or third biological therapy to be tried after a first has failed.⁸ Patients requiring biological therapy should fulfil the NICE criteria for initiation and continuing therapy.

Considering the evidence base for use of a second biologic after a first had failed it is clear that the data are limited with varying response rates; however it is clear that response to one biologic agent is not predictive of a patient's response to a second agent. Costs of all agents are similar (annual cost: £9,282-£10,228) and use of a second agent would be in place of the first so would be cost neutral. NICE Clinical Guideline 153¹ on the assessment and management of psoriasis recommends switching people to a second biologic after the first has failed.

NICE do not prioritise the biologic agents to be used for psoriasis and feedback from local clinicians has indicated that this lack of prescriptiveness is valued and should be reflected in any guideline developed by the LMMG. There are generally agreed principles such as switching from TNF inhibitors to another class of drug in case of drug failure which would be generally followed, however there are situations where this may not be the best course of action and this is based on specialist clinical decision making.

All drugs are highly efficacious initially; however biologic drugs have an attrition rate year on year of approximately 15%. If switches are not allowed, longer term disease control will be lost. The guideline allows three lines of treatment with a biologic as patients will usually be within the supra-specialist advice setting and any third line treatment will be recommended by such a specialist. The guideline does not cover the use of a subsequent agent after a third has failed due to a lack of available data in this situation. If requested this would need to be reviewed by commissioners on a case by case basis to assess affordability.

Financial Impact of Providing a Biologic

Biologics for the treatment of psoriasis are perceived to be expensive, however NICE have provided some cost estimates that show biologics will be effectively cost neutral when compared to best supportive care. Allowing a third choice of biologic, at which point NICE recommend seeking supra-specialist opinion,¹ will be cost neutral.

The costing report from NICE Clinical Guideline 153 provides costings for best supportive care, which on average will cost £10,980 for one year, as follows:¹¹

Treatment Type	Resource components	Costs per treatment	Proportion receiving treatment	Average cost
Drug related costs				
Methotrexate		£404	45%	£182
Ciclosporin		£2,372	45%	£1,068
No drug	5 outpatient visits	£300	10%	£30
Other treatment				
Day Care Centre	5 visits	£1,813	100%	£1,813
Narrow-band UVB	1 course	£1,882	16%	£301
Inpatient care				
High need	1 admission	£5,876	82%	£4,819
Very high need	2.55 admissions	£14,985	18%	£2,697
Total				£10,908
Health economic treatment costs based on the NHS Electronic Drug Tariff for October 2012 , and BNF 64 where this was unavailable. Full references are available in the Costing template				

The average cost of a biologic is £10,527 for one year is as follows:¹¹

Biologic	Annual drug and administration costs ^{ab}
Etanercept	£9,643
Infliximab	£13,310
Adalimumab	£9,503
Ustekinumab	£9,651
Unweighted Average	£10,527
^a Based on a flat, unweighted average, as used by the healthcare economic model	
^b Drug costs based on BNF 64	

REFERENCES

- ¹ NICE CG153 Psoriasis: assessment and management. October 2012. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg153/resources/psoriasis-assessment-and-management-35109629621701> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ² NICE Commissioning guide: Biologic drugs for the treatment of inflammatory disease in rheumatology, dermatology and gastroenterology. 2012. <https://workspace.imperial.ac.uk/ref/Public/UoA%2001%20-%20Clinical%20Medicine/UpdateTA247AndPsoriasisCG.pdf> [Accessed online on 20 April 2016]
- ³ NICE TA103 Etanercept and efalizumab for the treatment of adults with psoriasis. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta103> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ⁴ NICE TA146 Adalimumab for the treatment of adults with psoriasis <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta146> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ⁵ NICE TA180 Ustekinumab for the treatment of adults with moderate to severe psoriasis <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta180> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ⁶ NICE TA350 Secukinumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta350> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ⁷ NICE TA134 Infliximab for the treatment of adults with psoriasis <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta134> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]
- ⁸ Greater Manchester Medicines Management Group (GMMMG), The sequential use of biologic agents in the treatment of Chronic or Plaque Psoriasis, for those patients, fulfilling NICE criteria for a biologic, 21st July 2015 . <http://gmmmg.nhs.uk/docs/nts/NTS%20Biologic%20Agents%20-%20Sequential%20use%20in%20Psoriasis%200715.pdf> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]

⁹ Pan Mersey Area Prescribing Committee, Prescribing Policy Statement: sequential use of biological agents in the management of psoriasis, REF: 13/96 FINAL. 10 July 2013.
<http://www.panmerseyapc.nhs.uk/guidelines/documents/G1396.pdf> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]

¹⁰ Prescribing Clinical Network Plaque Psoriasis Biologic Treatment Pathway in adults on behalf of East Surrey CCG, Guildford & Waverley CCG, North West Surrey CCG, Surrey Downs CCG, Surrey Heath CCG, Crawley CCG and Horsham and Mid-Sussex CCG
<http://pad.res360.net/Content/Documents/Plaque%20Psoriasis%20biologic%20treatment%20pathway%20Sept%202015%20FINAL.pdf> [Accessed online on 12 April 2016]

¹¹ NICE Costing Report CG153: Psoriasis: the assessment and management of psoriasis October 2012 <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg153/resources/costing-report-188311357> [Accessed online on 20 April 2016]